(b) to (d) According to the information furnished by UGC, grants have not been released by the Commission to any College in Bihar which was not eligible to receive such grants.

फल और सब्जियों की बरबादी

*79. श्री रणजीत सिंह :
डा० जितेन्द्र कुमार जैन :

क्या कृषि मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार का ध्यान 11 फरवरी, 1991 के "ट्रिब्यून" में "पालिसी गुड इनक्लूड सपोर्ट प्राइसेज" शीर्षक के अन्तर्गत प्रकाशित समाचार की ओर दिलाया गया है ;

(ख) यदि हां, तो क्या यह सच है कि देश में प्रति वर्ष बड़ी मात्रा में, फल और सब्जियां नष्ट हो जाती हैं, यदि हां, तो ऐसे फल और सब्जियों का अनुमानित मूल्य कितना है ;

(ग) क्या सरकार सब्जियों और फलों के उत्पादन को प्रोत्साहित करने तथा किसानों को हानि और शोषण से बचाने के लिए इन वस्तुओं के समर्थन मूल्य को नई कृषि-नीति में शामिल करने का विचार रखती है ; और

(घ) यदि नहीं, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं ?

कृषि मंत्री (श्री बलराम जाखड़): (क) दिनांक 11-2-1992 के ट्रिब्यून में प्रकाशित समाचार के अनुसार, हिमाचल फल और सब्जी उत्पादक संघ ने मांग की है कि उनके उत्पादों के समर्थन मूल्य राष्ट्रीय कृषि नीति में शामिल किए जाएं ।

(ख) फलों और सब्जियों की फसल के पश्चात् उनकी क्षति का अनुमान लगाने के लिए कोई प्रामाणिक सर्वेक्षण नहीं किया गया है ।

(ग) और (घ). नई कृषि नीति निरूपण पर विचार किया जा रहा है ।

Literacy programmes in the country

*80.SHRI RAMACHANDRAN PILLAI : Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of the programmes taken up by the Central and State Governments to raise literacy in the country and the results achieved during the last three years;

(b) the details of allocations made for each State during the last three years and the expenditure incurred thereon ; and

(c) whether Government contemplate any change in the programmes and policies and if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI ARJUN SINGH) : (a) Universalil-sation of elementary education including the programme of non-formal education for school dropouts, and the National Literacy Mission which aims at impartirg functional literacy to 80 million adult illiterates in the 15-35 age-group by 1995 form an integral-part-of the larger programme for eradication of illiteracy in the country. The specific results achieved under these programmes during the last three years are as under:—

(i) Undr Operation Blackboard* which is meant for providing the minimum essential facilities for primary schools 69% of the blocks in the country comprising of 64% of the schools have been covered so far.

(ii) Under the Non-formal Education for children who cannot attend formal schools for various reasons, over 2.70 lakh NFE centres have so far been sanctioned for this purpose.

(iii) 287 District Institutes of Education and training have been sane-, tioned so far to organise quality pre-service and in-serivice train-

ing for the elementary school teachers and the instructors of AE/NFE centres.

(iv) Under the National Literacy Mission 79 total literacy campaigns have been launched with the objective of completely eradicating illiteracy in a given area within a prescribed time frame. The State of Kerala, the Union Territory of Pondicherry and the Districts of Burdwan (West BengaO.Sindhudrug (Mahara shtra), Gandhinagar (Gujarat) and Dakshin Kannada (Karnataka) have so far completed their literacy campaigns and have started post-literacy programmes.

(b) In the central sector no specific allocation is made to States/UTs for implementing their programmes. Grants are released on the basis of the requirement of each State/UT. Statements showing the amount of grant released by the Central Government to the State Government/UT Administrations and various agencies engaged in promotion of adult education and elementary education in these States/UTs during the last three years are at Statements I & II. *(See* below). In addition, the State Governments/Union Territory administrations also incurred expenditure on these programmes in the State Sector. Statements indicating the expenditure incurred on adult education and elementary education in the State Sector durng the last three years sre at Statement m & IV. *(See* below)

(c) Whereas, no change in the policy of removal of illiteracy in the country is contemplated, the emphasis under adult literacy programmes at present is on the adoption of area approach for total literacy in a gi*en time frame. Besides the total literacy campaigns taken up by the Zilla Sakshaf tha Samities, the projects under the centre based programmes are being/would also be implemented following thisapproacl:.

Statement—I

The central assistance provided to state governments! Union Territoryadministrations and other agencies in different states/UTs Engaged in adult education during 1988-89 *to* 1990-91

(Rs. in lakhs)

Sl.No.	Name of the State/UT	Amount of Central Assistance		
		1988-89	1989-90	1990-91
1	2	3	4	5
1	Andhra Pradesh	406.59	570.28	2275.55
2	Arunachal Pradesh	36.45	42.38	15.18
3	Assam	238.38	256.10	181.82
4	Bihar	454.22	677.36	760.93
5	Goa	11.26	65.47	5.47
6	Gujarat	460.17	512.21	828.28
7	Haryana	166.30	205.89	105.07
8	Himachal Pradesh	45.09	61.93	54.02
9	Jammu & Kashmir	111.49	8.15	13.48
10	Karnataka	488.86	393.17	1298.85
11	Kerala	210.33	421.91	353.11
12	Madhya Pradesh	563.61	726.81	1278.20

1	2	3	4	5
13	Maharashtra	667.44	665.40	906.20
14	Manipur	84.92	81.50	22.13
15	Meghalaya	39.06	67.81	32.91
16	Mizoram	13.40	16.61	13.67
17	Nagaland	14.92	42.00	37.29
18	Orissa	216.60	493.27	609.91
19	Punjab	146.22	175.01	104.45
20	Rajasthan	595.48	595.36	507.58
21	Sikkim	4.38	38.84	7.89
22	Tamil Nadu	464.85	648.15	452.81
23	Tripura	30.79	47.58	15.32
24	Uttar Pradesh	1123.60	852.15	1228.81
25	West Bengal	469.78	268.06	1586.58
	Union Territories			
26	A & N Islands	11.96	16.00	19.38
27	Chandigarh	5.94	6.51	14.31
28	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	3.54	4.30	5.51
29	Daman & Diu	0.79	0.73	0.66
30	Delhi	92.19	214.85	299.29
31	Lakshadweep	4.83	1.95	0.32
32	Pondicherry	18.50	50.37	40.13

Statement-II

The ainount released to State Governments! Union Territory Administrations and other Agencies Working in various States/Union Territories for elementary education (.Including Non-Formal education and Teacher education)

(Rs. in lakh)

Sl.No.	Name of the State/UT	Amount Released		
		1988-89	1989-90	1990-91
1	Andhra Pradesh	2422-24	2324-08	2932-28
2	Arunachal Pradesh.	74.81	46.76	82.^fe

1	2	3	4	5
3	Assam	468·13	1154·21	207·68
4	Bihar	2637·71	1552·07	2405·51
5	Goa	23·62	65·62	47·47
6	Gujarat	248·02	829·07	579·82
7	Haryana	320·92	148·62	86·50
8	Himachal Pradesh	417·91	465·77	303·36
9	Jammu & Kashmir	567·87	174·70	0·67
10	Karnataka	911·44	541·16	724·50
11	Kerala	327·23	280·00	221·28
12	Madhya Pradesh	3094·23	1081·52	2477·04
13	Maharashtra	483·96	841·74	720·15
14	Manipur	142·75	3·98	75·13
15	Meghalaya	..	..	100·49
16	Mizoram	27·95	10·94	42·43
17	Nagaland	56·67	42·98	5·85
18	Orissa	1832·92	1322·88	2210·94
19	Punjab	470·25	460·85	286·69
20	Rajasthan	1666·26	2319·38	4165·74
21	Sikkim	44·56	..	15·36
22	Tamil Nadu	1230·13	2026·98	547·51
23	Tripura	..	76·12	7·70
24	Uttar Pradesh	2849.49	3556·76	2331·85
25	West Bengal	522·47	82·49	385·82
	Union Territories:			
26	A & N Islands	..	8·27	..
27	Chandigarh	2·83	2.89	5·64
28	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	..	..	4·14
29	Daman & Diu	1·18	..	53·59
30	Delhi	47·22	134.82	106·09
31	Lakshadweep	..	..	10·72
32	Pondicherry	27.23	20·32	..

Statement-III

The Plan Expenditure incurred by State Governments! Union Territory Administrations on adult Education during 1988-89 *to* 1990-91

(Rs. in Lakhs)

Sl. No	Name of the State/UT	Expenditure		
		1988-89	1989-90	1990-91
1	2	3	4	5
1	Andhra Pradesh	276·00	304·00	319·00
2	Arunachal Pradesh	65·00	75·00	68·53
3	Assam	143·00	237·00	156·00
4	Bihar	658.00	875·00	1172·00
5	Goa	22·00	20·00	38.47
6	Gujarat	196·00	200.00	224·72
7	Haryana	7·00	59.00	..
8	Himachal Pradesh	21.00	50.00	43·55
9	Jammu & Kashmir	46.00	44.00	50.00
10	Karnataka	207.00	240.00	231.14
11	Kerala	..	..	..
12	Madhya Pradesh	215.00	344.00	187.24
13	Maharashtra	378·00	560.00	33.00
14	Manipur	55.00	50.00	45.89
15	Nagaland	10.00	11.00	10.82
16	Orissa	95.00	100.00	144.09
17	Punjab	12.00	23·00	50·00
18	Rajasthan	107.00	120.00	89.38
19	Sikkim	3·00	10·00	9.72
20	Meghalaya	28·00	35·00	67.00
21	Mizoram	7·00	15.00	68.00
22	Tamil Nadu	222·00	22.00	420.91
23	Tripura	20·00	56·00	43·76
24	Uttar Pradesh	366.00	765.00	303.00
25	West Bengal	138·00	363·00	425·00
	Union Territories :			
26	A & N Islands	6·00	6·00	4·03
27	Chandigarh	16·00	9.00	..

		3	4	5
28	D & N Haveli	1·00	2·00	1·25
29	Daman & Diu	2·00	2·00	1·80
30	Delhi	3.00	40.00	14.09
31	Lakshadweep	1.00	3·00	0.24
32	Pondicherry	5.00	6.00	1.25

Statement-IV

Plan Expenditure in the State Sector on elementary education during 1988-89 *to* 1990-91

(Rs. in lakhs)

No	Name of the State/UT	Expenditure		
		1988-89	1989-90	1990-91
1	2	3	4	5
1	Andhra Pradesh	2812	6148	2606
2	Arunachal Pradesh	1095	1300	1045
3	Assam	3081	3564	4303
4	Bihar	4133	9711	5584
5	Goa	142	210	182
6	Gujarat	2085	2630	1341
7	Haryana	1546	1381	1037
8	Himachal Pradesh	797	1107	1285
9	Jammu & Kashmir	795	3000	2300
10	Karnataka	881	1303	2341
11	Kerala	82	139	180
12	Madhya Pradesh	5733	6833	7038
13	Maharashtra	1975	2871	611
14	Manipur	400	457	467
15	Meghalaya	595	786	764
16	Mizoram	230	322	317
17	Nagaland	276	286	335
18	Orissa	3170	3021	2357
19	Punjab	499	680	240
20	Rajasthan	3443	5245	2329
21	Sikkim	568	568	568

1	2	3	4	5
22.	Tamil Nadu	3650	3199	3480
23.	Tripura.....	1478	1230	1800
24.	Uttar Pradesh	4579	10692	10546
25.	West Bengal	1187	1200	1849
	Union Territories :			
26.	A & N Islands	426	459	254
27.	Chandigarh	153	177	110
28.	D & N Haveli	60	57	64
29.	Daman & Diu	55	40	43
30.	Delhi	3654	3013	3059
31.	Lakshadweep	34	45	23
32.	Pondicherry	218	210	220

भूमि कटाव

573. **श्री ईश दत्त यादव** : क्या कृषि मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि भारत में भूमि कटाव की समस्या एक विकट समस्या है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो प्रत्येक राज्य में कितना कितना भूमि कटाव हुआ है और इस समय कुल कितने प्रतिशत भूमि कटाव से प्रभावित है; और

(ग) भूमि कटाव को रोकने के लिए अब तक किये गये प्रयासों का राज्य-वार ब्यौरा क्या है ?

कृषि मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री मुल्लापल्ली रामचन्द्रन) : (क) जी, हां ।

(ख) और (ग) जानकारी विवरण में दे दी गई है ।

विवरण

क्षेत्र लाख हैक्टेयर में

(करोड़ रुपए में)

राज्य/संघ राज्य क्षेत्र	भौगोलिक क्षेत्र	कुल समस्या-ग्रस्त क्षेत्र	कुल भौगो-लिक क्षेत्र की तुलना में समस्याग्रस्त क्षेत्र की प्रतिशतता	1991–92 तक उपचारित क्षेत्र	1991–92 तक किया गया खर्च
1	2	3	4	5	6
आन्ध्र प्रदेश .	276.82	122.31	44.18	12.10	49.26
अरुणाचल प्रदेश .	83.58	26.54	31.75	0.31	29.40
असम . .	78.52	29.99	38.19	2.26	50.97